

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

ROBERT H. WALAT WILLIAM R. MCCLELLAN WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C. 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE BOSTON MA 02110

COPY MAILED

SEP 1 3 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

ON PETITION

In re Application of Svetlana B. Radovanov et al. Application No. 09/662,471 Filed: September 15, 2000 Attorney Docket No. **V0077/7162**

This is a decision on the petition filed July 12, 2007 under 37 CFR 1.137(b), ¹ to revive the above-identified application.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.137 (b) is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned June 16, 2004 for failure to timely pay the issue fee on or before June 15, 2007. Accordingly, a Notice of Abandonment was mailed July 9, 2004.

This application has been abandoned for an extended period of time. The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is relying on petitioner's duty of candor and good faith and accepting the statement that "the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional." See Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure, 62 Fed. Reg., at 53160 and 53178; 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office, at 88 and 103 (responses to comments 64 and

¹Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b)raAtable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b)rust be accompanied by:

⁽¹⁾ the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

⁽²⁾ the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

⁽³⁾ a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

⁽⁴⁾ any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

109) (applicant obligated under 37 CFR 10.18 to inquire into the underlying facts and circumstances when providing the statement required by 37 CFR 1.137(b) to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office).

The issue fee and petition fee have all been applied. All other requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) having now been met, this application file is being forwarded to the Publishing Division to be processed into a patent.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned

Retitions Attorney at (571) 272-3212.

Patricia Faison-Ball

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions